(1) (3)

IN THE MADHYA PRADESH STATE ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL AT RAIPUR , M.P.

WPCJ) 2526/05

OA NO...../1998

BETWEEN

APPLICANT : Jai Krishan Mishra S/o Gajraj Mishra
Water Resources Sub.Dn. Basna
~~Division Mahasumund M.P.~~
Distt Mahasumund M.P.

AND

RESPONDENT :

1. The State of M.P. Through the Secretary Water Resources, Department of State of M.P. Vallabh-Bhavan, BHOPAL (M.P.)
2. The Engneering in Chief Water Resources Department of the State of M.P. BHOPAL (M.P)
3. Tne Chief Engineer Water Resources Mahanadi Kachhar RAIPUR (M.P)
4. The Superintendent Engineer Water Resources Department .Circle RAIPUR (M.P)
5. The Executive Engineer Water Resources Department Division & Dist.Mahasumund(M.P)

RAIPUR
Dated 21/7/98

APPLICANT K Mishra

Mishra
BDW
Counsel for Applicant

(4)

(2)

PETITION UNDER SECTION 19 OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE ACT.1985

XI-HC—78

(40)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.(S) 2576/05 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	APPLICANT	Jai Krishan Mishra, S/o Gajraj Mishra, Water Resources Sub. Dn. Basna, Division Mahasamund, M.P., Distt. Mahasamund (M.P.)
		-Versus-
	RESPONDENTS 1.	The State of M.P., Through the Secretary, Water Resources Department, State of M.P., Vallabh-Bhavan, Bhopal (M.P.)
	2.	The Engineering in Chief, Water Resources Department of the State of M.P., Bhopal (M.P.)
	3.	The Chief Engineer, Water Resources Mahanadi Kachhar, Raipur (M.P.)
	4.	The Superintendent Engineer, Water Resources Department, Circle Raipur (M.P.)
	5.	The Executive Engineer, Water Resources Department, Division & Distt. Mahasamund (M.P.)
	Single Bench : Hon'ble Shri Justice Sunil Kumar Sinha	
	7-12-2007	
	Shri Ashok Das Vaishnav, counsel for the petitioner.	
	Shri Ajay Dwivedi, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents.	
	Heard.	
	Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this petition was mainly filed for relief of regularization of the petitioner on the post of Time Keeper. For the present, he makes a limited prayer that this petition may be disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to file a representation before the concerned authorities and the concerned authorities may be directed to dispose of the representation of the petitioner at the earliest.	

XI-HC—78

(47)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.(S) 2576/05 **सन् 200**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश —2—	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
Soma	This limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is not opposed by learned counsel for the State. The prayer is allowed. It is observed and directed that if so advised, the petitioner may file fresh representation before the concerned authorities along with a copy of this order and a copy of this petition within a period of three weeks from today and if such a representation is filed, the concerned authority shall dispose of the same at the earliest in accordance with law by passing an objective order on the points raised by the petitioner for the purposes of his regularization on the post of Time Keeper. With the aforesaid observations & directions the petition stands finally disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. **Sd/-** **Sunil Kumar Sinha** **Judge**	